## Franchise Tax Board

## **SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL**

Assembly Revenue & Taxation Committee	Analyst:	Gail Hall		Bill Number:	AB 3078
Related Bills: See Prior Analysis	Telephone:	845-6111 A	mended Date:	July 2, 2008	3
	Attorney:	Patrick Kusiak	Sponsor:	Franchise 7	Tax Board
SUBJECT: Modify Group Return Provisions / Real Estate Withholding for Certain Non-CA Entities / Other State Tax Credit Claims / TP Advocate Penalty Relief / Increase Threshold for Imposing Estimated Tax Penalty / Eliminate Double Inclusion of Income					
DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended					
AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.					
AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENTS CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended					
X FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.					
DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO					
REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS AMENDED  X JUNE 19, 2008, STILL APPLIES.					
X OTHER – See commer	nts below.				
<ol> <li>SUMMARY</li> <li>This bill would do the following:</li> <li>Allow entities to file a tax return on behalf of certain nonresidents.</li> <li>Close loopholes in current tax withholding on the payments nonresident individuals and non-California businesses receive from the sale of California real property.</li> <li>Extend the statute of limitations for claiming the credit for taxes paid to another state.</li> <li>Give discretionary authority to the Taxpayers' Rights Advocate to grant relief from penalties, fees, or interest imposed on a taxpayer because of erroneous actions of the department.</li> <li>Increase the Personal Income Tax (PIT) estimated tax penalty threshold.</li> <li>Clarify the rules for the elimination from income of certain dividends received.</li> </ol>					
Board Position:		ND	Legislative Dire	ector	Date
SNA SAO N OUA		NP NAR X PENDING	Patrice Gau-Jo	ohnson for	7/25/08

Assembly Bill 3078 (Assembly Revenue and Taxation Committee) Amended July 2, 2008 Page 2

## **SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS**

The July 2, 2008, amendments added a repeal date to the revised Annual Taxpayer Advocate Report requiring reports to be provided to the Legislature regarding the Taxpayer Advocate's new discretionary authority and would reinstate existing requirements upon repeal of this section. The "Effective/Operative Date" and "This Bill" discussions for the provisions relating to the Taxpayer Advocate Equity Relief have been revised.

The remainder of the department's analysis of the bill as amended June 19, 2008, still applies.

## SUMMARY OF SUGGESTED AMENDMENTS

Amendments 1 and 2 are technical amendments suggested to correct cross references to a bill section number and a Revenue and Taxation Code section. Amendment 3 is suggested to change the operative date of the increased estimated tax penalty threshold.

## **EFFECTIVE/OPERATIVE DATE**

## Taxpayer Rights Advocate

As prescribed by the bill, the provisions related to Taxpayer Advocate Equity Relief would be effective January 1, 2009, and be specifically operative to requests for Taxpayers' Rights Advocate (Advocate) consideration that are received by the Advocate on or after January 1, 2009, irrespective of the tax year involved.

The provisions relating to the Annual Taxpayer Advocate Report that would include a requirement to report to the Legislature a summary of the instances where relief was granted, the nature of the error or delay, and the steps taken by the department to remedy systemic issues that required relief would be repealed by its own terms as of January 1, 2012, unless a later enacted statute deletes or extends that date. Existing law would be restored effective January 1, 2012.

### **ANALYSIS**

## THIS PROVISION

This provision would expand the responsibility of the Advocate to include resolution of taxpayer issues identified by Franchise Tax Board (FTB) employees. This bill would also authorize the Advocate to waive (grant relief from) penalties or additions to tax, fees, and interest that are attributable to any of the following:

- Erroneous action or erroneous inaction by the FTB in processing documents filed or payments made by a taxpayer,
- Unreasonable delay caused by the FTB, or
- Erroneous written advice that did not qualify for relief under Chief Counsel authority.

Assembly Bill 3078 (Assembly Revenue and Taxation Committee) Amended July 2, 2008 Page 3

Relief may be granted only in situations where no part of the error is attributable to the taxpayer and relief is not available under any other statute or regulation.

The Chief Counsel of the FTB must concur with the decision to grant relief when the total reduction in penalties, fees, additions to tax, or interest exceeds \$500. If the total relief granted exceeds \$7,500, the Chief Counsel must notify the three-member Franchise Tax Board. The threshold amounts are to be adjusted annually by the percentage change in the California Consumer Price Index. Relief at any level requires a public record to be placed in the office of the Executive Officer of the FTB that includes the following information:

- The taxpayer's name,
- The total amount involved,
- The amount payable or refundable due to the error or delay, and
- A summary of why the relief is warranted.

A refund may be paid as a result of the relief granted only if the written claim for refund is received by the Advocate within the applicable statute of limitations. Any decision for relief is not subject to review in any administrative or judicial proceeding and no other entity may participate in the grant or denial of relief.

This provision would require the Advocate to include in its annual report to the Legislature a summary of the instances where relief was granted, the nature of the error or delay, and the steps taken by the department to remedy systemic issues that required relief. This provision would be repealed by its own terms on January 1, 2012, and existing law would be restored as of that date, unless a statute is later enacted that extends or deletes that date.

The provision would specify that the provisions granting relief shall apply to requests for Advocate consideration that are received by the Advocate on or after January 1, 2009, irrespective of the taxable year involved.

The "This Provision" section of the department's analysis of the bill as amended June 19, 2008, relating to modify group return, real estate withholding, other state tax credit, estimated tax penalty threshold, and double inclusion of income still applies.

### LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Legislative Analyst Gail Hall (916) 845-6111 gail.hall@ftb.ca.gov Revenue Manager Rebecca Schlussler (916) 845-5986 rebecca.schlussler@ftb.ca.gov Legislative Director Brian Putler (916) 845-6333 brian.putler@ftb.ca.gov

Analyst Gail Hall
Telephone # (916) 845-6111
Attorney Patrick Kusiak

# FRANCHISE TAX BOARD'S PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO AB 3078 As Amended July 2, 2008

#### AMENDMENT 1

On page 22, line 37, after Section, strikeout "8" and insert:

7

## AMENDMENT 2

On page 22, line 38, strikeout "21006" and insert:

21004

#### AMENDMENT 3

On page 23, after line 19, insert:

(c) The amendments made to Section 19136 of the Revenue and Taxation Code by this act shall apply to taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2009.